

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/102,238	06/22/1998	KENICHI KUBO	B208-967	1575
26272 75	590 10/01/2003	·	EXAM	INER
ROBIN BLECKER & DALEY			VILLECCO, JOHN M	
2ND FLOOR		•		
330 MADISON AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10017			2612	77
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/102,238	KUBO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John M. Villecco	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard period for reply will be standard period for reply standard period for reply standard period for reply standard period for reply standard perio	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roll. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirts riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	08 July 2003 .					
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	e.					
4) Claim(s) <u>8-15</u> is/are pending in the applica						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) 9,11,13 and 15 is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 8,10,12 and 14 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
<ul><li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar Application Papers</li></ul>	id/or election requirement.	• ,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	icolo priority under 00 0.0.0.	33 .20 dild/01 121.				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Discription Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Discription Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/102,238 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed July 8, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Regarding claim 8, applicant has added the limitation that the position of the lens is not changed upon a changing of the conversion characteristic. Applicant asserts that Kaneko does not disclose this aspect. More specifically, applicant argues that when the conversion characteristic is changed the lens is moved to a different position. Applicant argues that col. 4, lines 21-29 teach that upon selecting a mode using the mode selector (19), the position of the lens is moved to a corresponding position. However, the paragraph in column 4, lines 4-29 is used to describe how the apparatus converts a movement of the operating means (4) into a servo control signal for moving the lens. This paragraph describes the right-handed mode only (col. 3, lines 65-66 and col. 4, line 4. Therefore, this paragraph is only concerned with moving the lens based on the conversion characteristic of the right-handed mode.
- Furthermore, it would make no sense to change the position of the lens when switching from one mode to another. This would cause the image being picked up to vary sharply or to be completely out of focus. In Kaneko, the user has the ability to switch from a right-handed mode to a left-handed mode. Clearly one would not want to change the position of the lens just because they start to use a different hand. Therefore, it would be inherent that the position of the lens does not change when switching modes.

Application/Control Number: 09/102,238 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

٠.

4. For the reasons stated above the rejection from the previous office action will be repeated.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 8, 10, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,633,680). The Kaneko reference has already been supplied to the applicant in the first office action mailed on March 29, 2002.
- Regarding *claim* 8, Kaneko discloses a rotary operation member (4) for inputting a moving amount of a lens, an output signal characteristics converting means (8) for converting a rotating amount of the rotary member (4) into an amount of movement variation of the control data of the position of a lens, and mode selector means (19) for selecting a mode for operating the lens adjusting apparatus. This mode selector means (19) changes the output signal characteristics converting means to implement a different method of moving the lens to a desired position. See column 3, lines 54-59 and column 4, lines 30-60. Therefore, the mode selector acts as the conversion characteristic changing means. Furthermore, it would make no sense to change the position of the lens when switching from one mode to another. This would cause the image being picked up to vary sharply or to be completely out of focus. In Kaneko, the user has the ability to switch from a right-handed mode to a left-handed mode. Clearly one would not

Art Unit: 2612

want to change the position of the lens just because they start to use a different hand. Therefore, it would be inherent that the position of the lens does not change when switching modes.

- 8. As for *claim 10*, Kaneko discloses that the lens is a focusing lens (col. 3, line 11) which is disposed in a television camera (col. 1, line 16).
- Regarding claim 12, Kaneko discloses a television camera (col. 1, line 16) with a means 9. for controlling a lens apparatus within the television camera. Kaneko discloses a rotary operation member (4) for inputting a moving amount of a lens, an output signal characteristics converting means (8) for converting a rotating amount of the rotary member (4) into an amount of movement variation of the control data of the position of a lens, and mode selector means (19) for selecting a mode for operating the lens adjusting apparatus. This mode selector means (19) changes the output signal characteristics converting means to implement a different method of moving the lens to a desired position. See column 3, lines 54-59 and column 4, lines 30-60. Therefore, the mode selector acts as the conversion characteristic changing means. Furthermore, it would make no sense to change the position of the lens when switching from one mode to another. This would cause the image being picked up to vary sharply or to be completely out of focus. In Kaneko, the user has the ability to switch from a right-handed mode to a left-handed mode. Clearly one would not want to change the position of the lens just because they start to use a different hand. Therefore, it would be inherent that the position of the lens does not change when switching modes.
- 10. With regard to *claim 14*, Kaneko discloses a television camera (col. 1, line 16) with a means for controlling a focusing lens (col. 3, line 11) apparatus within the television camera. Kaneko discloses a rotary operation member (4) for inputting a moving amount of a lens, an

Application/Control Number: 09/102,238

Art Unit: 2612

output signal characteristics converting means (8) for converting a rotating amount of the rotary member (4) into an amount of movement variation of the control data of the position of a lens, and mode selector means (19) for selecting a mode for operating the lens adjusting apparatus. This mode selector means (19) changes the output signal characteristics converting means to implement a different method of moving the lens to a desired position. See column 3, lines 54-59 and column 4, lines 30-60. Therefore, the mode selector acts as the conversion characteristic changing means. Furthermore, it would make no sense to change the position of the lens when switching from one mode to another. This would cause the image being picked up to vary sharply or to be completely out of focus. In Kaneko, the user has the ability to switch from a right-handed mode to a left-handed mode. Clearly one would not want to change the position of the lens just because they start to use a different hand. Therefore, it would be inherent that the position of the lens does not change when switching modes.

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Makino et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,735,494) teaches a zoom lens control system capable of changing zoom speeds based upon the distance to the subject and the position of the lens.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

### 12. <u>Claims 9, 11, 13, and 15 are allowed.</u>

13. Regarding *claims 9, 13, and 15*, the primary reason for indication of allowable subject matter is that the prior art fails to teach or reasonably suggest correcting the reference data of the

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/102,238 Page 6

Art Unit: 2612

amount of variation of conversion characteristic mode when a different mode is detected based on the control data of the previous sampling.

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-6306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"; for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Application/Control Number: 09/102,238

Art Unit: 2612

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Villecco whose telephone number is (703) 305-1460. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service desk whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

JMV

9/9/Q3

PRIMARY EXAMINER